Case S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16	4:08-cv-02522-	SB Č IVIDO	eloe	et sheled 05/	3.08 19/2008 Pag g	-0V-2527-EP	<u>/</u>
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form	information contained here, approved by the Judicial Co	n neither replace no onference of the Uni	or supple ited State	ment the filing and service of p	leadings or other papers as re-	quired by law, except as provided Court for the purpose of initiating	
the civil docket sheet (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.) 1. (a) PLAINTIFFS EMMETT COLLINS III				DEFENDANTS CO County Sheri County Sheri	UNTY OF ALAM ff's Deputy ff's Deputy	IEDA; Alameda R. PECK;Alameda S. SORENSEN;	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ALAMEDA COUNTY (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) John L. Burris (510) 839-5200 Law Offices of John L. Burris				County of Residence of Fin	st Listed Defendant DC N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES DEMNATION CASES, USE TR	OES 1-25 inclusiv	<u>a</u>
7677 Oakport	Street, Suit 9462∣	e 1120					
				(For Diversity Cases Only)PTF	DEF	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	t a Party)		tizen of This State 1 tizen of Another State 2	Incorporated or Princip of Business In Thi Incorporated and Princip	is State	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)		tizen or Subject of a 3	of Business In An		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		Poleign Country			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TO PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL IN.	Yrms:	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 7535 Motor Vehicle 764 Airplane Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	362 Personal Inju Med. Malpra 365 Personal Inju Product Liab 368 Asbestos Per Injury Produ Liab ility PERSONAL PROI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Len 380 Other Persor Property Dar 385 Property Dar	ny— actice ary — sility sonal ct PERTY ding al nage	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 625 Drug Related Seizure 626 Drug Related Seizure 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS		730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 449 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to V Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalt 540 Mandamus & 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Cond	: ty & Other	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habcas Corpus – Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
1 Original 2 Remo	Court Appel	late Court	4 Reinst Reopo	ened (specify)	ct 6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to District 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 USC 1983 Brief description of cause: False arrest and excessive force (law enforcement officers)							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ 2,000,000 CCC DEMAND: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FIXE							
IF ANY "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".							
PARE May 6, 2008 SIGNATURE OF ALTOMORY PROCESSOR SIGNATURE SIGNATURE OF ALTOMORY PROCESSOR SIGNATURE							
May 6, 20	00	A	V	1/ /2000			

Case 4:08-cv-02522-SBA Document 1 Filed 05/19/2008 1 JOHN L. BURRIS, ESQ. CSB#69888 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS 2 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621-1939 3 Tel: (510) 839-5200 Fax: (510) 839-3882 4 e/m: John.Burris@JohnBurrisLaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff EMMETT COLLINS III 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 CO8-02522 EMMETT COLLINS III, 11 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 12 Plaintiff, [CIVIL RIGHTS] 13 vs JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 14 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA; Alameda 15 County Sheriff's Deputy R. PECK; Alameda County 16 Sheriff's Deputy S. SORENSEN; DOES 1 - 25, inclusive, 17 18 Defendants. 19 **JURISDICTION** This action arises under Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by Title 28 of the United States Code, Sections 1331 and 1343. The unlawful acts and practices alleged herein occurred

> in Hayward, California which is within this judicial district. **PARTIES**

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS]

28

ADR

20

21 **22**

23 24

25 26

27 28

- Plaintiff EMMETT COLLINS III ("COLLINS"/"Plaintiff") was a citizen of the United States and a resident of Hayward, California, at all times herein mentioned.
- Defendant COUNTY OF ALAMEDA is a governmental entity within the state of California, one of whose agencies is the Alameda County Sheriff, an elected official responsible for the management of the Alameda County Sheriff's Department and its deputies, officers and employees. Defendant COUNTY OF ALAMEDA is subject to suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Monell v. Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978).
- Defendants R. PECK and S. SORENSEN were at all times mentioned herein, sheriff's deputies employed by the Alameda County Sheriff's Department and COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ("COUNTY"). These defendants are sued herein individually and in their official capacity. In engaging in the conduct described herein, said defendants acted under the color of law in the course and scope of their employment for COUNTY. In engaging in the conduct described herein, said defendants exceeded the authority vested in them under the United States Constitution and as employees of the COUNTY OF ALAMEDA.
- Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each defendant so named is responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages suffered by plaintiff as set forth herein.

27

28

Plaintiff will amend his complaint to state the true names and/or capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, when they have been ascertained.

6. At all times herein mentioned, each and every defendant was the agent or employee of their co-defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment and with the actual or implied permission, consent, authorization, and approval of their co-defendants.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Emmett Collins III ("COLLINS"), an African-American, was arrested by defendants PECK and SORENSEN at approximately midnight on December 14, 2006. At the time of his arrest, he was seated in his car on Filbert Street near its intersection with B Street in Hayward, California, eating a sandwich. arrived in his patrol car, got out and approached the driver's side window of COLLINS' car and asked him for identification. While COLLINS put his sandwich down, reaching for his wallet, he asked PECK why he was being questioned. When PECK replied that COLLINS looked suspicious, COLLINS, thought he was being "profiled" and asked PECK to call his sergeant. PECK became hostile and aggressive and told COLLINS he was under arrest because PECK was unable to identify him. PECK pulled COLLINS from the car and placed him in handcuffs. Deputy S. SORENSEN arrived as PECK hit a restrained COLLINS several times with his flashlight, including strikes to the head. Once SORENSEN and

5

PECK saw the condition COLLINS was in--his face was a bloody mess--they called for an ambulance. COLLINS was transported by ambulance to Eden Medical Center. COLLINS sustained a gash on his forehead, a fractured eye socket and a broken nose in addition to bruises and abrasions. COLLINS had no criminal history before PECK caused charges to be filed against him for resisting arrest. The charges were eventually dropped.

CLAIMS REQUIREMENT

8. Plaintiff complied with all applicable requirements precedent to filing a civil suit.

DAMAGES

- 9. As direct, actual and proximate result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff was severely and permanently injured in his health and strength, sustaining severe head and facial injury and bruises and abrasions. He incurred expenses as a result of his car being towed from the scene of the arrest. He was held in Santa Rita Jail for two days, made more than half a dozen court appearances, losing one job as a result and losing wages in his subsequent employment. COLLINS continues to experience anxiety, terror, shame and humiliation as well as a loss of his security and pride in his ethnicity because he understands his injuries to have been occasioned in part because of his racial identity as an African-American.
- 10. The conduct of PECK and SORENSEN was oppressive, malicious, wanton and justifies an award of punitive damages against each of those defendants.

11 **12**

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

14. As a proximate result of said deprivations of these COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS]

Plaintiff found it necessary to engage the services of private counsel to vindicate his rights under the law and is therefore entitled to an award of all attorney's fees incurred in relation to this action for violation of his rights.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

(Against defendants PECK and SORENSEN, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 1 of this complaint.
- In doing the acts complained of herein, defendants 13. acted under color of law to deprive the plaintiff of certain constitutionally protected rights, including, but not limited to:
- The right not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law, as guaranteed by the fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- b. The right to equal protection of the laws, as guaranteed by the fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution:
- The right to be free from the use of excessive use of force by law enforcement officers, as quaranteed by the fourth, fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and,
- d. The right to be free from preconviction punishment as quaranteed by the fourth, fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

5

4

6

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

constitutional rights plaintiff herein suffered damages and injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff pray for relief as set forth herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (42 U.S.C. § 1983) (Against defendant COUNTY)

- 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 14 of this complaint.
- 16. Defendant COUNTY and the Alameda County Sheriff were, prior to this incident, given notice of a pattern of ongoing constitutional violations and unlawful practices, and likely continuance of same by one or both of the defendant sheriff's deputies while in their employ and/or under their supervision. Said pattern and practices have resulted in, and continue to result in the wrongful injury of African-American citizens taken into custody in Alameda County. Despite this notice, defendant COUNTY and the Alameda County Sheriff demonstrated deliberate indifference to these patterns and practices of constitutional violations by failing to take the necessary, appropriate, or adequate preventative measures. This lack of an adequate supervisorial response by defendants demonstrates the existence of an informal custom or policy which tolerates the continued violation of civil rights of American citizens by the deputies, sergeants and officers supervised by the Alameda County Sheriff.
- 17. The acts of the individual defendants alleged herein are foreseeable and proximate results of the indifference of

COUNTY, the Alameda County Sheriff and subordinate supervisory officials and employees to the pattern, practices, customs and policies described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as follows.

- 1. For special damages in an amount to be proven;
- 2. For general damages in the sum of \$2,000,000.00;
- 3. For punitive damages against the individually named officers in an amount sufficient to make an example of the individual defendants and to deter future misconduct;
 - 4. For reasonable attorney's fees;
 - 5. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 16, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

By:_

John L. Burris, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
EMMETT COLLINS III

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS]